

MINUTES

Erie County Council

View More At
www.eriecountypa.gov



Brian Shank, Chairman
Mary Rennie, Vice Chairwoman
Charlie Bayle
André R. Horton
Ellen Schauerma
Terry M. Scutella
Jim Winarski

REGULAR MEETING MINUTES

Tuesday, May 9, 2023

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Chairman Shank.

OPTIONAL PRAYER OR REFLECTION

Mrs. Schauerma offered a reflection for National Nurse's week.

ROLL CALL

Members present: Chairman Brian Shank, Vice-Chair Mary Rennie, Charlie Bayle, Andre Horton, Ellen Schauerma, Terry Scutella, Jim Winarski

Others present: Julie Slomski, Megan Moore, Solicitor Tom Talarico

HEARING OF THE PUBLIC

Ms. Slomski read an added citation in remembrance of a Thomas Gonzales.

A moment of silence was held in remembrance of Scott Bremner.

Jerry Servidio

Gerald Servidio, Millcreek Township: Mr. Servidio expressed support for Mary Rennie and her vote regarding the EMS Ordinance from the previous meeting, although it may cause her problems in a re-election year. He asked for clarification of Mr. Horton's vote on the EMS Ordinance.

Mr. Horton replied that he had changed his vote after the ordinance had passed.

Mr. Servidio mentioned that he had been checking on the millage rates of the County, and expressed his amazement that Fairview and Harborcreek had the lowest millage rates. He discussed EMS and Police services in outlying areas of the County, and how they these services are funded. He stated that the boroughs and municipalities needed to raise their millage rates to support these type of services, and this was why people left the city, to get out of higher tax burdens. He claimed that Millcreek Township would be supplementing Millcreek Paramedic Services with new vehicles every year. He reiterated his belief that in outlying areas millage rates needed raised and a there could be a sponsored emergency services membership which would alleviate or lessen the request for funds. He stated his disappointment that all of Council was not present for the

picture taken during the announcement of the GECAC Summer Program, and noted there were small tweaks but not much had changed. He encouraged all of Council to go and volunteer at GECAC and really see what work was done for the elderly. He also remarked that the Council was biased when it came to personnel and salaries, and noted that Jessica Horan and Joe Sinnott had received higher salaries with no backlash, but fought against Julie Slomski and her fair pay. He stated his hope that the Council recognized her value, and stated no one had to go through what she did when hired. He asked the Council to look at a person and their value, and noted the public was looking at their value to the County.

Sam Talarico

Mr. Talarico did not speak.

Other Speakers

Freda Tepfer, Erie: Ms. Tepfer made a public service announcement regarding Medicaid membership renewal and referenced the State Compass site. She voiced her dismay that out of the entirety of District 6, no one was available to be on the Community College Board, and questioned the effort to find someone within the district. She mentioned a person she knew that would have jumped at the chance. She described her membership in EmergyCare, and that it was not necessary but a good idea. She discussed how funds had been budgeted for EMS services with ARPA funds, but that money was then given to Project Resolve and Project NepTWNE; now Gaming Revenue would be used, which had been earmarked for the Cooperative Extension, 4-H, and the Community College. She noted that these other projects could have been funded through Infrastructure or ARP monies. She revealed her concern about the extra costs and upgraded salaries that were continuously submitted on the agendas. She questioned where this money would come from, and what was not being paid. She questioned the cost of the new Summer Jobs program logo.

Larry Owns, Sr., Wesleyville: Mr. Owens declared that they must have a deeper appreciation for womanhood, and honor their station as the birthers of humanity. He discussed the use of the word “woke” by some, and it being loosely used as rhetoric. He defined “woke” as people that are marginalized, as in insignificant, and economically oppressed, that are desperate for help from the purse-string holders of Erie County who do not value diversity; Black, Latin, Asian, Native, and poor White Americans beset by problems and difficulties with the present County Executive who cannot see that growth in the County depends on the society of all working in conjunction to build a future for all progeny. He questioned the Council’s position on the legality of the present DEI Commission, and the Council members’ lack of advocacy for what they initiated. He stated the Council should realize that systemic racism is prevalent and a hindrance to society in Erie County. He asked that they refrain from snide remarks or borderline racial epithets, and instead be about the work of economic fairness. He

emphasized that the “woke” people now realize the power of the vote and will enjoin their mutual interests to make change where necessary; truth needs no support.

MINUTES FROM PREVIOUS MEETING

A motion to accept the minutes was made by Mrs. Rennie, seconded by Mr. Winarski, and carried 7-0.

April 25, 2023 - Regular Meeting

REPORTS OF COUNTY OFFICIALS

County Executive and/or his Designee

Paul Lichtenwalter, Director of Finance: Mr. Lichtenwalter stated he wanted to speak about the handout that he had given out, which was the real estate tax collection. He stated the one thing to remember was that they are still a couple of months away from the face date, so around July, he would be able to give them a much better look at where they were at. He explained that as a third of the year has gone by, people were paying at the discounted rate, so they're getting some money in and they're trending a little bit down in their real estate tax collections right now, but they're keeping an eye on them. He stated they'll see what happens.

Finance Committee - Mrs. Schauerman

Finance Committee Chairwoman Schauerman reported that the Finance Committee met on May 4th, 2023 and submitted to the agenda: New Business: A-D.

Personnel Committee - Mr. Scutella

Personnel Committee Chairman Scutella reported that the Personnel Committee met on May 4th, 2023 and submitted to the agenda: New Business E- O.

Other

Mrs. Rennie commented on the agenda for the night, and the first and second readings. She stated it was important to recognize the hard work by the HR Department, the Director of Administration, as well as the thoughtfulness and professionalism of various department heads that went into the items they submitted. She stated the proposed changes were thoughtful and pro-active, but came at an odd time, typically they would be presented at the end of the year. She recognized unusual circumstances had come into play. She noted that the changes proposed for the positions and to the Budget were all unsupported. She announced that the 2023 Budget that was passed several million dollars “out of whack” and was plugged by taking money out of the Fund Balance, Gaming Revenue, and so forth. She stated that when the Council was approached with changes that were unsupported financially, they should ALL question how it would be funded now and in the future. She referenced her vote on the funding for EMS services, and stated that she voted ‘no’ because the funding was coming from Gaming Revenue instead of ARPA Funds, as had been originally planned until the Administration cut the funding, and the Gaming Revenue was already committed money. She stressed that EMS/Fire services were critically important, but they also had to be financially responsible to the tax payers. She reiterated again, they had to be responsible to the tax payers because the note was going to

come due, and the people would not be happy about it.

OLD BUSINESS

None

NEW BUSINESS

A motion to move items B and J-M to a second read was made by Rennie, seconded by Schauerman, and passed 7-0.

First Reading of Ordinance Number 37, 2023, "Amending the Administrative Code of 2021, Article III, Purchasing and Sale Procedures"(Finance Committee) Ms. Slomski gave a first reading of Ordinance Number 37, 2023, 'Amending the Administrative Code of 2021, Article III, Purchasing and Sale Procedures.'

First Reading of Ordinance Number 38, 2023, "Third 2023 Library Fund Budget Supplemental Appropriation of \$50,000 for Year 1 of 2023-2025 ECGRA Grant Funds to Support the Idea Lab and Beehive Innovation Network"(Finance Committee) Ms. Slomski gave a second reading of Ordinance Number 38, 2023, "Third 2023 Library Fund Budget Supplemental Appropriation of \$50,000 for year 1 of 2023-2025 ECGRA Grant Funds to Support the Idea Lab and Beehive Innovation Network." A motion was made by Schauerman, seconded by Rennie and carried 7-0.

First Reading of Ordinance Number 39, 2023, "Second 2023 Capital Projects Fund Budget Supplemental Appropriation of \$150,000 for Microsoft 365 Software Upgrade"(Finance Committee) Ms. Slomski gave a first reading of Ordinance Number 39, 2023 "Second 2023 Capital Projects Fund Budget Supplemental Appropriation of \$150,000 for Microsoft 365 Software Upgrade".

First Reading of Ordinance Number 40, 2023, "Eleventh 2023 General Fund Budget Supplemental Appropriation of \$11,678 for the Reorganization of Library Positions"(Finance Committee) Ms. Slomski gave a first reading of Ordinance Number 40, 2023 "Eleventh 2023 General Fund Budget Supplemental Appropriation of \$11,678 for the Reorganization of Library Positions".

First Reading of Ordinance Number 41, 2023, "Fourth 2023 Library Fund Budget Supplemental Appropriation of \$11, 678 for the

2023 Library Fund Budget Supplemental Appropriation of \$11,678 for the Reorganization of Library Positions"(Personnel Committee)

Reorganization of Library Positions".

First Reading of Ordinance Number 42, 2023, "Twelfth 2023 General Fund Budget Supplemental Appropriation of \$3,139 for Procurement Department Reorganization and Senior Buyer Position Job Reclassification"(Personnel Committee)

Ms. Slomski gave a first reading of Ordinance Number 42, 2023 "Twelfth 2023 General Fund Budget Supplemental Appropriation of \$3,139 for Procurement Department Reorganization and Senior Buyer Position Job Reclassification".

First Reading of Ordinance Number 43, 2023, "Thirteenth 2023 General Fund Budget Supplemental Appropriation of \$62,809 for the Reorganization of Information Technology and Operations"(Personnel Committee)

A motion to move to a second reading was made by Schauerman, seconded by Winarski, and failed 0-7.

Mrs. Rennie stated all of these changes should be done with due process. She disclosed they were told that there was no need to rush them past the public and she was going to stick with that, wherever possible, because there were a few things that were critically important. She stated that a second read should wait and she would vote no.

Mr. Horton stated he would vote no to a second reading at this time. He noted that the County had just done a recent overhaul of the IT Department and at a high cost. He noted it would be folly to take this action now, and the tax payer was owed the decency of due diligence. He stated he had been banging the drum longer than anyone about the upcoming budget, and every new expenditure kept adding to the deficit. He stated in his ten years with Erie County he had never seen anything like this, and most citizens that have interactions with the County recognize that things are very different, and not in a good way. He noted that every request for an increase for non-bargaining positions added to the deficit, and everyone was aware of the staff shortages at the prison. He acknowledged his changed vote on the EMS/Fire ordinance, and stated he had done so because it was immaterial at that point. He also noted that he supported the Emergency Services, and a great idea to help them, but not by taking funds out of the Gaming Revenue designated for the Community College. He stated that Fund would go bankrupt, and the Administration said they would just have to find another way to pay for the Community College, for which the County is on the hook. He noted that meant putting it on the tax payer with a cut to services and programming, and tax hikes; and no one could say they did not know.

Mr. Talarico stated the problem with the ordinance, and the one that preceded it, was that it combined reorganization of the offices with creating new positions, new promotions, and reclassifications of employees, and they should be separated.

Ms. Slomski asked Mr. Talarico for clarification on whether they should move to a second reading first, and then amend the amount, or do them together in one motion.

Mr. Talarico stated that it could be done separately, and once it was moved for a second reading they could discuss further amendments.

Mr. Horton questioned whether the amendments would speak to the separation of the things that Mr. Talarico commented on or were they talking about something else. He agreed that there was a lot going on in the ordinance and if the ordinance was going to go forward, then it should go forward individually. He wanted clarification on whether they were asking the same questions.

Ms. Slomski confirmed they were asking a different question. She stated the discussion was on the amount going down to \$61,330, per the Human Resources department, so they would have to amend it if moved forward. She clarified if they moved to a second, there would also be a need to amend that number. She stated her question was just about simply amending that number as they had discussed in Caucus, not breaking it down further.

Mr. Horton thanked Ms. Slomski for the clarity. He stated his position was true to what the Solicitor said. He added that the whole thing should be amended, not to change the intent of it, but to individualize two or three separate actions instead of being in one ordinance.

Mrs. Schauerman asked Mr. Talarico to explain how he would like the ordinance broken down.

Mr. Talarico stated that under the Home Rule Charter, restructuring any kind of department, joining departments, changing titles, and moving one department under another would call for a separate vote, irrespective of money that would go in to it. He stated it was not a budget issue but a separate issue that changed departments and offices. He explained that should be separated from an ordinance that wanted to fund additional employees or give increases of wages to employees as a result of the restructuring.

Mrs. Rennie asked Mr. Talarico if he was saying that because County Council was responsible for the structuring of departments.

Mr. Talarico said that was correct.

Mrs. Rennie questioned if the restructuring of the departments would have to be done before any personnel changes, added positions, and so on.

Mr. Talarico stated it could be done at the same time if they separated the budgetary end of the restructuring from the restructuring itself.

Mrs. Schauerman stated it did not sound like that was something that could have been done that night because a new ordinance would have to be written.

Mr. Talarico replied that it was fine with the first reading but he would suggest that they are separated, along with the previous one as well.

Mr. Shank gave Ms. Villella, Director of Human Resources, the floor.

Ms. Villella stated that the separation was something that had been done before and she was surprised that they were discussing this, when it had already been discussed in Caucus.

Mr. Talarico and Mr. Horton stated they were not present in Caucus.

Ms. Villella stated again that they had done this before and split them.

Mr. Talarico stated he was suggesting they split them and Ms. Villella stated that she agreed.

Mr. Horton stated he was not at Caucus, so he appreciated the extra time they were taking and he believed that was what that time was for, to have a discussion.

Ms. Villella stated they were just trying to understand what they wanted to separate.

Mr. Talarico stated that the County Council, under the Home Rule Charter, had the authority to create departments, eliminate departments, combine them, and so forth. He added that the two ordinances are restructuring or re-organizing, which was a separate issue. He explained that one of the ordinances was retaining a new position as a result of the reorganization but it should not be combined with the structuring of it. He pointed out the fact that new positions added and employees being promoted were not in the title of the Ordinance.

Mr. Talarico stated he was not objecting to the Council voting on them, he was just suggesting that they be separated.

Mr. Shank gave Mr. Lichtenwalter the floor.

Mr. Lichtenwalter explained that they were not talking about re-organization other than moving one person from operations into IT, so that position was to be moved from one department to the other and then they were adding a person in that department. He stated there was going to be movement but the word re-organization may or may not have been the proper word to use. He stated the department was still going to be intact, IT was still going to be IT, and they were still going to have the function of making sure all the computers and audiovisuals worked. He stated they were just moving someone from one department to another, in order to help out with the upcoming phone system upgrade. He stated they were going to need someone who had the expertise from the operations department to move to IT to assist them. He added that using the word reorganization might be a stretch because they were taking one person from one department and moving them to a department that already had 25 employees.

Mr. Horton questioned whether it mattered if the narrative fit the title because the ordinance said reorganization and reclassification. He questioned whether it should be a changed title and if it was a changed title, would it change the whole ordinance. He stated they were not trying to stop them, they were just saying that those items should not be classified in one ordinance. He added that they should be separated and it should not go forward in its current form.

Mr. Lichtenwalter stated he was not in disagreement with that but he wanted everyone to understand what was happening. He noted they were not taking three departments and combining them into one. He added they were moving one person into another department and then adding a position. He stated there were multiple movements to that and they could make sure the math of that matched up with three different movements.

Mr. Horton stated they were not talking about the math, they were talking about procedure. He stated that if it wasn't a reorganization, then they would need to fix the title or fix the narrative.

Mr. Lichtenwalter said they could do that.

Mr. Talarico stated he didn't interrupt to cause a pandemonium, but he wanted Council to think twice about making the ordinance a second reading. He stated it could be easily fixed between then and the next meeting, so it was clear what the Council was doing and the votes were separated.

Mr. Shank stated they had a motion, they had a second, so they were asking for a second read, which could be voted up or down at that point. He noted that if it failed, it would be left at a first read.

Chairman Shank called for a roll call vote on a second only and it failed 0-7.

Mr. Shank confirmed that it failed, so it was a first read of the title only and they

would work out the nuts and bolts by the next meeting.

Ms. Slomski gave a first reading of Ordinance Number 43, 2023, "Thirteenth 2023 General Fund Budget Supplemental Appropriation of \$62,809 for the Reorganization of Information Technology and Operations".

First Reading of Ordinance Number 44, 2023, "Fourteenth 2023 General Fund Budget Supplemental Appropriation of \$2,774 for Reclassification of Courts Jury Coordinator Position"(Personnel Committee)

A motion was made by Mr. Scutella to move to a second read, seconded by Mr. Winarski, and passed 5-2. (Rennie, Horton)

Ms. Slomski gave a second reading of Ordinance Number 44, 2023 "Fourteenth 2023 General Fund Budget Supplemental Appropriation of \$2,774 for Reclassification of Courts Jury Coordinator Position".

Mrs. Rennie stated she supported both of the asks, which included H and I, assuming they went to a second. She added that she wanted to do what was right with the Courts. She stated she would vote in favor of it, but wanted it noted that it should not have gone to a second because it was not at a point of critical need. She noted they could have waited a little while longer. She stated the other half of it was that it was unsupported spending and the Administration must be held accountable and called to task.

A motion was made by Mrs. Schauerma and seconded by Mr. Scutella, and carried 6-1. (Horton)

First Reading of Ordinance Number 45, 2023, "Fifteenth 2023 General Fund Budget Supplemental Appropriation of \$3,223 for Reclassification of Courts Case Coordinator Position"(Personnel Committee)

Mrs. Rennie stated moving it to a second was not warranted at that time. She stated it was breaking with the process of doing County Council's business, which was in the interest of the tax payer, and that was why she objected to the second read.

Mr. Horton stated he would also be voting no because it was unsupported funding and it would amount to an unfunded mandate. He stated with the knowledge that they were deficit spending, he planned to vote no.

A motion was made to move to a second reading by Mr. Scutella, seconded by Mr. Winarski, and carried 5-2. (Rennie, Horton)

Ms. Slomski gave a Second Reading of Ordinance Number 45, 2023 "Fifteenth 2023 General Fund Budget Supplemental Appropriation of \$3,223 for Reclassification of Courts Case Coordinator Position."

Mrs. Rennie stated she supported the reclassifications and much of it had needed to be done for some years. She added that people like to tear (in to) government employees, and frequently did so when they are running for office and when they were ignorant of what the government was all about. She stated government employees were legion, 1,000 employees. She stated these were the people who

were true public servants and she worked shoulder to shoulder with them for decades. She added that many of them are among the people she had the greatest respect for. She stated some of the changes were overdue, but there was no balance, no plan, and there hadn't been a plan for some time. She noted it was ironic that it was being moved forward by the Administration. She stated she would be voting in favor of giving a reclassification to the position, but also recognized that it was unsupported.

A motion was made by Mrs. Schauerman and seconded by Mr. Scutella, and carried 6-1. (Horton)

First Reading of Ordinance Number 46, 2023, "Sixteenth 2023 General Fund Budget Supplemental Appropriation of \$72,346 for the Creation of Two New Positions in Courts Computer Bureau"(Personnel Committee)

Ms. Slomski gave a second reading of Ordinance Number 46, 2023, "Sixteenth 2023 General Fund Budget Supplemental Appropriation of \$72,346 for the Creation of Two New Positions in Courts Computer Bureau.

A motion was made by Mrs. Schauerman, seconded by Mr. Bayle, and carried 6-1. (Horton)

First Reading of Ordinance Number 47, 2023, "Seventeenth 2023 General Fund Budget Supplemental Appropriation of 2023 General Fund Budget Supplemental Appropriation of \$36,000 For Outside Counsel Due to Conflict of Interest"(Personnel Committee)

Ms. Slomski gave a second reading of Ordinance Number 47, 2023, "Seventeenth 2023 General Fund Budget Supplemental Appropriation of \$36,000 for Outside Counsel Due to Conflict of Interest."

A motion was made by Mrs. Schauerman, seconded by Mr. Winarski, and carried 7-0.

First Reading of Ordinance Number 48, 2023, "Approval of Agreement between the County of Erie and PSSU Local 668 (OCY)"(Personnel Committee)

Ms. Slomski gave a second reading of Ordinance Number 48, 2023, "Approval of Agreement between the County of Erie and PSSU Local 668 (OCY)"

Mrs. Rennie thanked everyone involved with the negotiation and settlement of the agreement reached with the Union. She stated this was an important one and it was overdue. She added that there was a great need for people to serve as caseworkers and elsewhere through OCY.

A motion was made by Mrs. Schauerman, seconded by Mr. Winarski, and carried 7-0.

First Reading of Ordinance Number 49, 2023, "Waiver of Resolution No. 38, 2020 of Resolution No. 38, 2020 Trustees."

Ms. Slomski gave a second reading of Ordinance Number 49, 2023, "Waiver of Resolution No. 38, 2020 and Ratification of Appointment to ECCC Board of Trustees."

**and Ratification of
Appointment to ECCC
Board of
Trustees"(Personnel
Committee)**

Mrs. Rennie stated there were certain Board appointments that specified residency within the district and she felt that with this one in particular, it was important to keep the appointment within the district. She stated the establishment of the Community College did not come without a lot of controversy. She noted much of the controversy came from the outlined areas and municipalities. She maintained that the Community College was for everyone in Erie County and she thought, for that reason alone, that it was exceedingly important to have good representation from the outlining portion of the County. She understood that it was probably difficult to get someone in that position but she had to vote no on it. She noted that it was nothing against Mr. Victor, whose name was put forward and she was sure he was qualified, but felt the importance of residency was paramount.

Mr. Bayle stated he did his due diligence and interviewed multiple people for the position. He stated he found that he had multiple candidates with the skill set needed but not the willingness to serve. He added he felt it was terrible to have someone completely qualified but have them say it was too much for them. He explained that they had a person who had the willingness to serve and possessed a very particular skill set. He noted he felt that putting the appointee in that position was a way of giving the tax payers the constituents of his district, a strong candidate and strong appointee to that Board.

Mr. Horton stated he knew Mr. Victor and he was extremely capable and qualified. He added that he would vote no because by-laws were put in place for a reason and it was in the same fashion of their rules that call for a first and second read. He noted he knew they often deviated from that as well. He explained that there was much conversation about what the composition of the Board should, could or would be at the time of the construction of the Board and that was how the districts were made. He stated he respected his colleagues and Mr. Victor, but he was a rules guy and was going to roll with the by-laws and vote no on it.

A motion was made by Mr. Bayle, seconded by Mrs. Schauerma, and passed 5-2. (Rennie,Horton)

**Possible reappointment of
Cindy Wells to the Erie
County Planning
Commission. Term to
expire December 31, 2026
(Bayle)**

A motion to approve the possible reappointment of Cindy Wells to the Erie County Planning Commission was made by Mr. Bayle, seconded by Mrs. Schauerma, and passed 7-0.

**Possible reappointment of
Mark Sleppy to the Erie
County Planning
Commission. Term to
expire December 31, 2026
(Shank)**

Mrs. Rennie stated that they spoke about it in Caucus but she wanted to make mention that it was rare to be denied a Board appointment. She explained that there was an incident earlier that year where she made an appointment that went through but not without a fight. She noted she believed it was a 4-3 vote. She stated she wanted to make a comment on the inconsistency about who gets appointed. She mentioned the comments made about her appointment were that

the person was too political or that the constituents within her colleagues' districts would not approve of that person. (She stated that aside from who made an appointment, they expected that person to work for the benefit of the organization that they were becoming a Board member for.) She added that, beyond that, there was no reason to say no to any particular appointment. She stated that each of them had many constituents, and their constituents were not completely alike. She added she had people who voted for her and she represents the people who did not vote for her. She wanted to recognize that this candidate was actively political and running for office, in addition to being appointed. She stated she thought that if people were going to vote against someone because they were too political, it should be that way for every appointment they look at, and not just certain people's appointments. She mentioned she would vote in favor of his appointment, but she wanted to recognize the irony there.

Mr. Horton stated he would support the appointment as well, but he felt a resume would be helpful. He stated he would like to see who he was voting for, and agreed with Mrs. Rennie on whoever was appointed should do what was best for the organization without a lot of gamesmanship. He stated he just asked when someone puts forward an appointment to attach a resume; It had been a policy in the past and there was no reason to put a stop to it.

Ms. Slomski verified with Mr. Talarico that Chairman Shank could second the motion.

Chairman Shank advised Ms. Slomski that he thought he could make motions.

Mr. Horton and Mr. Talarico advised that he could not.

Mr. Horton asked Mr. Talarico to check on that for his personal knowledge.

Mr. Talarico began to explain Robert Rules and advised that if it was not significant, there was no harm.

Councilman Horton attempted to offer a friendly amendment and Chairman Shank interrupted and advised that he did not make the motion. He asked for a rollcall vote.

Ms. Slomski asked Mr. Talarico if it was okay to move forward and Mr. Talarico confirmed that it was.

A motion to approve the possible reappointment of Mark Sleppy to the Erie County Planning Commission was made by Mrs. Schauerma, seconded by Mr. Shank, and passed 7-0.

Mrs. Rennie stated she voted yes with recognition of the double standard.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 7:05pm on a motion from Mr. Horton.

***The next Finance/Personnel**

Committee Meetings are Thursday, June 8, 2023 at 4pm in room 114A, Erie County Courthouse or via Zoom.

***The next Regular Meeting of County Council is Tuesday, June 13, 2023 at 6pm in room 117, Erie County Courthouse or streamed live at the link provided on the County website.**

***Members of the public wishing to speak at an upcoming Regular Meeting of Council or those requiring specific accommodation are encouraged to call or email the Council Office at (814) 451-6303 or Councilrequests@eriecountypa.gov, no later than the end of business 5 days prior to the meeting. The public must be present at the meeting to speak.**